

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/646,764	03/04/2004	Peter Anthony Miller	7131	
759	90 12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Peter Anthony Miller 2 Low Heighley Cottage			SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
Morpeth, NE61 3BY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
UNITED KING	DOM		1761	
		DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan		09/646,764	MILLER, PETER AI	NTHONY			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq.	1761				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi	munication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Sta	age			
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		52)			

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite because they do not rely on one of the U.S. patent preamble phrases, i.e., comprising, consisting or consisting essentially of. The instant phrase, "characterized," is being interpreted as the equivalent of the phrase "comprising."

The claims are also indefinite because the process claims do not positively recited the method step, e.g., "dosing" rather than "dosed."

Art Unit: 1761

Claim 1 is indefinite because there is no antecedent basis for the phrases "the movable dependent edges" and "the processed liquids."

Claims 1 and 7 are indefinite because the scope of the phrases "lid-like form" is unknown.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticpated by Irwin et al. (USPN 6,207,208)(" Irwin").

Irwin teaches the addition of bentonite (an adsorbent) to beer or wort (col. 3, lines 32-38) at a rate of 0.1 to 60 grams per liter (100 to 60,000 ppm). (Col. 5, lines 1-34). In the Example, Veegum clay, a complex magnesium aluminum silicate is used in treating a lager beer.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molzahn *et al* (GB Pat. No. 1,571,480)("Molzahn") or Kerr (GB pat. No. 1,388,666) in view of Miller (GB Pat. No. 2,280,857).

Both Molzahn and Kerr teach the well known use of band filters for filtering beer process fluids. See both disclosures generally, specifically, page 1, lines 54-72 and page 1, lines 27-44, respectively. They do not teach the details claimed. Miller teaches the same apparatus ( see instant specification, first page, bottom) as claimed and its use to filter beverages, (page 2, last paragraph). It would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to use the band filter of Miller in the processes of Molzahn or Kerr as the Miller filter provides a high degree of cleanliness and sterility.

Applicant's claims also recite limitations directed to heating and cooling of the mash and because heating and cooling of the mash stream is notoriously well known it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to heat and cool the mash as claimed as this is a well known beer process step. Applicant also claims a specific mean particle size for the grain and because the grist size is a result effective variable, i.e., the smaller the grain, the better the extraction, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the size of the grain in order to obtain the best extraction.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hardwick (Handbook of Brewing, page 339).

Hardwick teaches the use of pressure fermentations when performing high temperature brewing. It is well known to use CO2 overpressure when using higher temperatures and it would

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

have been obvious to monitor the CO2, pressure and temperature of the fermentation as these parameters are critical in obtaining the desired fermentation results.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. whose telephone number is 571-272-1406. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9187 (toll-fixe).

> Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1761